Isostaticity and mechanical response of two-dimensional granular piles

Akihiro Kasahara and Hiizu Nakanishi

Department of Physics, Kyushu University 33, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan (Received 8 May 2004; published 17 November 2004)

We numerically study the static structure and the mechanical response of two-dimensional granular piles. The piles consist of polydisperse disks with and without friction. Special attention is paid to the rigid grain limit by examining the systems with various disk elasticities. It is shown that the static pile structure of frictionless disks becomes isostatic in the rigid limit, while the isostaticity of the frictional pile depends on the pile forming procedure, but in the case where the infinite friction is effective, the structure becomes very close to isostatic in the rigid limit. The mechanical response of the piles is studied by infinitesimally displacing one of the disks at the bottom. It is shown that the total amount of displacement in the pile caused by the perturbation diverges in the case of the frictionless pile as it becomes isostatic, while the response remains finite for the frictional pile. In the frictionless isostatic pile, the displacement response in each sample behaves in a rather complicated way, but its average shows wavelike propagation.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.70.051309 PACS number(s): 45.70.Cc, 83.80.Fg

I. INTRODUCTION

A pile of granular material is often modeled as an assembly of rigid particles. This simple picture, however, causes some conceptual difficulties when one considers the force distribution, or stress, in the pile.

In an ordinary solid that consists of atoms, which are deformable elements, the stress inside is determined by the stress balance equations with the constitutive relation between the stress and strain. No deformation is allowed, however, in rigid elements, in which case the forces acting on each element should be determined only from the structure of the contact network. This is not possible in general.

There is a special class of stable pile structures called isostatic, or marginally rigid: A pile structure is isostatic when the forces acting between the elements are uniquely determined only from externally applied forces and the pile structure without any information on the deformation of the elements. For such a pile, the total number of balance equations for the forces and torques acting each particle should be equal to the number of independent components of forces. This condition leads to the requirement that the average number of particles in contact with each particle in a pile, or the average coordination number *z*, should take a specific value. In the case of frictionless spherical grains, this becomes $z=2d$ for *d* dimensions because the contact forces always point to the center of particle, and thus the torque balance equations are satisfied. Therefore, the number of independent force components *zN*/2 should be equal to the number of force balance equations, *dN*, with *N* being the total number of particles. In the case of the frictional pile of both spherical and nonspherical grains, we have $z = d+1$ because the number of independent force components is now *zdN*/2, and this should be equal to the sum of the number of force balance equations, *dN*, and that of torque balance equations, $d(d-1)N/2$.

In a real pile of hard grains the isostaticity is not always satisfied; in the overconstrained case, where the number of conditions is larger than the number of forces, the pile is unstable because there are no sets of force that satisfy the balance equations. On the other hand, in the underconstrained case, where the number of conditions is smaller than the number of forces, the forces cannot be determined uniquely from the macroscopic structure information of the pile; the macroscopic friction force depends on the piling history. It has been conjectured, however, that a stable pile of frictionless rigid particles forms an isostatic structure [1].

The isostaticity of a pile structure has been tested numerically and experimentally for both the frictionless and frictional cases by counting the coordination numbers. Makse *et al.* [2] have performed numerical simulations for threedimensional sphere systems without gravity and made compact aggregates of balls by compressing the systems by pushing the surrounding walls. By examining the coordination numbers in the zero-pressure limit, they concluded both the frictionless and frictional sphere systems become isostatic in the rigid limit. On the other hand, Silbert *et al.* [3] have also performed numerical simulations on the three-dimensional sphere system, but the way they made piles is different from that of Makse *et al.* They released the particles in the system at once under gravity and waited until all the particles stopped. Their conclusion is that the frictionless pile becomes isostatic in the rigid limit, but the structure of the frictional pile depends on the piling procedure and never becomes isostatic. Ball and Blumenfeld [4,5] have done simple tabletop experiments on the pile of two-dimensional noncircular grains made of cardboard. Piles are formed by collecting the grains scattered initially on a horizontal surface by sliding an open rectangular frame. They found that the higher the starting density of grains is, the more cooperative reconfiguration is taken place before they are stuck with each other and the lower the ending pile density. They have concluded that the isostatic structure of frictional grains is achieved in the limiting case where the starting and ending densities coincide.

The isostatic structure, if it is realized in a real pile, should be reflected in the mechanical properties of the rigid granular pile. The mechanical properties of frictionless isostatic structure have been studied in some detail, and it has been shown that (i) the force chain may be regarded as propagating unidirectionally [6], (ii) there is a correspondence between the force-force response and the displacement-displacement response [7], and (iii) the piles are very sensitive to an external perturbation [1,8]. These features may correspond to some properties of a smooth hard granular pile.

Regarding the mechanical response of the isostatic pile, using a simple lattice model of isostatic structure, Moukarzel [1] has shown that the total response to an external perturbation diverges as the system approaches isostaticity due to the "pantograph effect" and statistical fluctuation is very large [8]. As for the effects of friction, Moukarzel *et al.* [9] have performed experiments on the two-dimensional rigid disk system and demonstrated that the displacement response function has the single-peak Gaussian shape with diffusive broadening. They also performed computer simulations on the two-dimensional frictionless disk system and showed that the displacement response function has a double-peaked shape with wavelike propagation, suggesting that friction plays an important role in the mechanical response.

The purposes of the present work are to clarify if pile structure becomes isostatic in the rigid grain limit and to examine the mechanical response of the isostatic pile. We present detailed results of our numerical simulations [10] on the two-dimensional frictionless and frictional disks. We particularly focus on the following questions: (i) whether the pile structure become isostatic in the rigid limit, (ii) how it depends on pile forming procedure, (iii) what role the grain friction plays, (iv) how the mechanical response of pile changes as it becomes close to isostatic, and (v) how the average response is different from individual ones. After introducing the model and piling procedures in Sec. II, we present the results for the static pile structure for frictionless and frictional disks in Sec. III. The mechanical responses are investigated in Sec. IV. The summary of our results is given in Sec. V.

II. MODEL

We perform molecular dynamics simulations on a system that consists of two-dimensional disks with linear elasticity and damping, which is usually called the DEM (discrete element method) in the engineering community. The system is polydisperse with a uniform distribution in the disk diameter over the range between $0.9\sigma_0$ and σ_0 , with σ_0 being the maximum diameter. The masses of the disks are assumed to be proportional to their areas: the mass of the disk with the diameter σ_0 is denoted by m_0 . The bottom of the system is made rough by attaching the disks with the interval σ_0 , and we employ the periodic boundary condition in the horizontal direction.

Piles are formed by letting the system run under gravity with the acceleration *g* from initial configurations until all the disks stop moving.

The disk at the position $x(t)$ with mass *m* follows the Newtonian equation

$$
m\ddot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{F}(t),\tag{1}
$$

where the force $F(t)$ consists of the gravitational and contact forces from the neighboring disks in contact. We also use the "viscous equation"

$$
\gamma \dot{x}(t) = F(t) \tag{2}
$$

for some cases to examine the effect of friction because the system that follows Eq. (2) is stuck as soon as the force balance is achieved and is more affected by the friction.

The two particles *i* and *j* at $x_i(t)$ and $x_j(t)$ with radii r_i and r_j , respectively, are in contact when the overlap δ_{ij} given by

$$
\delta_{ij} = r_i + r_j - |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j| \tag{3}
$$

is positive. Then the particle *j* exerts force F_{ii} on particle *i*,

$$
\boldsymbol{F}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}^n + \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}^t,\tag{4}
$$

where F_{ij}^n and F_{ij}^t are the normal and tangential components of the force:

$$
\boldsymbol{F}_{ij}^n = k_n \delta_{ij} \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}_{ij} - \gamma_n \boldsymbol{v}_{ij}^n, \qquad (5)
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{F}_{ij}^t = -k_t \Delta s_{ij} \hat{\boldsymbol{i}}_{ij} - \gamma_t \boldsymbol{v}_{ij}^t. \tag{6}
$$

Here, \hat{n}_{ij} and \hat{t}_{ij} are the normal and tangential unit vectors, respectively; Δs_{ij} is the tangential displacement of the contact points after the contact \mathbf{v}_{ij}^n (\mathbf{v}_{ij}^t) is the normal (tangential) relative velocity k_n (k_t) is the normal (tangential) elastic constant, and γ_n (γ_t) is the normal (tangential) damping constant. Note that we assume no threshold for the disks to slip during the contact in Eq. (6), which corresponds to the case with an infinite-friction coefficient. In the case of the frictionless disk, we simply set $F^t_{ij} = 0$.

In the actual simulations, we use $\gamma_n=2\sqrt{k_n}[\sqrt{m_0}]$ for the frictionless case and k_t =0.2 k_n and $\gamma_n = \gamma_t = 2\sqrt{k_n}[\sqrt{m_0}]$ for the frictional case. In the simulations with the viscous equation (2), we take $\gamma = 5[m_0\sqrt{g/\sigma_0}]$ with $\gamma_n = \gamma_t = 0$ in Eqs. (5) and (6).

III. STATIC STRUCTURE OF PILES

First, we study the isostaticity of granular pile formed through several procedures.

Rigorous verification of isostaticity is not simple, but as has been discussed already, if a structure is isostatic, the average coordination number *z* should take a specific value depending upon a type of grains in the pile: $z=2d$ for a pile of frictionless spherical grains and $z = d+1$ for a pile of frictional grains. We will use *z* as a scale that measures how close a pile structure is to the isostaticity.

We perform molecular dynamics simulations to construct piles of frictionless and frictional disks using several procedures. We try two types of initial configurations: the triangular lattice with the lattice constant σ_0 and the random configuration; the triangular lattice is not a regular lattice because the disks located at the lattice points are polydisperse. The random configurations are prepared by randomly arranging disks with an area fraction of approximately 0.6.

Simulations to form piles start from these configurations with zero particle velocity and finish when the kinetic energy of each disk becomes negligibly small—namely, smaller than 10^{-15} *m*₀*g* σ ₀¹. The number of disks, *N*, is 400 and the system size is $20\sigma_0$ in the horizontal direction; thus, the number of layers in depth is 20 on average. We also try both Eqs. (1) and (2) for the time development.

FIG. 1. The coordination number *z* for various elastic constants k_n for a frictionless pile (a) and frictional pile (b). The marks represent the pile preparation procedure: the Newtonian equation with the triangular lattice initial configuration $(+)$, the Newtonian equation with the random initial configuration (\times) , the viscous equation with the triangular lattice initial configuration $(*)$, and the viscous equation with the random initial configuration (\square) . Each mark represents the average of 6–12 realizations. The insets show $z-z_{\infty}$ vs k_n in the log-log scale with z_∞ listed in Table I.

Figure 1(a) shows the k_n dependence of the coordination number *z* for the frictionless disks. It can be seen that the results do not depend on the preparation procedures very much and *z* converges to a number very close to 4 in the large-*kn* limit for both initial configurations and time developments. The k_n dependence is well represented by the power law

$$
z - z_{\infty} \propto k_n^{-\alpha},\tag{7}
$$

as shown in the inset of Fig. 1(a). The parameters z_{∞} and α are tabulated in Table I.

As for the case of frictional disks, the results are shown in Fig. 1(b). There are two things to be noted in comparison

TABLE I. The limiting coordination numbers z_{∞} and the exponents α for various preparation procedures.

		Frictionless		Frictional	
Equation of motion	Initial configuration	Z_{∞}	α	Z_{∞}	α
Newtonian	Triangular lattice	3.97	0.68	3.15	0.49
	Random configuration	3.98	0.65	3.09	0.47
Viscous	Triangular lattice	3.97	0.63	3.06	0.60
	Random configuration	3.97	0.64	3.04	0.46

FIG. 2. The distribution for the ratio ζ of the tangential force to the normal force in the piles produced by the viscous equation $(+)$ and that by Newtonian equation (\Box) from the random initial configurations with the disk elasticity $k_n=10^6[m_0g/\sigma_0]$. The average coordination numbers are $z=3.06$ for the pile by the viscous equation and $z=3.11$ for that by the Newtonian equation. Each plot represents average over about ten realizations.

with the frictionless case: (i) the discrepancy among different preparation procedures is larger in the frictional case and (ii) the limiting values of the coordination number are substantially different from 3—i.e., the value for the isostatic structure of the frictional grain in two dimensions (Table I).

There seems to be, however, the tendency that the limiting value of the coordination number z_{∞} becomes closer to 3 in the case where the friction may produce a more random pile configuration—namely, from the Newtonian equation with triangular lattice initial configurations to the viscous equation with random initial configuration.

These results should be compared with those by Silbert *et al.* [3]. They also constructed granular piles using the DEM and concluded that the piles of frictionless spheres become isostatic in the rigid limit but that of frictional spheres does not become isostatic.

The major difference between the present work and that of Silbert *et al.* lies in the following points: Silbert *et al.* [3] studied the three-dimensional systems of monodisperse spheres with a finite friction constant that follows the Newtonian equation while we investigate the two-dimensional system of polydisperse disks with infinite-friction constant that follows the Newtonian or viscous equation. Both agree in the point that the pile structure in the frictional systems does not become isostatic in the same way as it does in the frictionless system, but our results suggest that isostaticity is achieved even for a frictional system in a certain limiting situation where the friction becomes very effective.

To examine how this discrepancy arises, we plot the distribution of the ratio ζ of the tangential force to the normal force at each contact. The comparison between the pile formed via the Newtonian equation and the pile via the viscous equation from the random initial configurations is given in Fig. 2 for the disk elasticity $k_n = 10^6 [m_0 g / \sigma_0]$, in which case the coordination numbers of the piles are *z*=3.11 for the Newtonian equation and *z*=3.06 for the viscous equation. For both cases we use random initial configurations. One can see that the pile by the viscous equation contains more contacts with a very large value of ζ , while the pile by the Newtonian equation has only contacts with ζ smaller than 10 even though the infinite-friction coefficient allows any value of ζ . This is because of the inertia effect in the Newtonian equation that the grains undergo further slip even after force balance is achieved, eliminating contacts with a large force ratio.

If we use a finite value for the friction coefficient, some of the contacts in the pile via the viscous equation would slip to make the pile denser. This would result in a larger average coordination number, which means the pile structure becomes further away from isostatic.

From these observations, we conclude that the infinite friction coefficient and the viscous equation for the time development in the pile forming process from a random initial configuration makes the friction very effective; as a result, the pile becomes so decompacted that it becomes nearly isostatic.

IV. MECHANICAL RESPONSE OF PILES

Now, we study the mechanical response of the frictionless and frictional piles to the external perturbation and see how the response changes as the pile becomes closer to isostatic. The perturbation is given by displacing one of the disks attached at the floor by δr_0 in the upward direction very slowly, and we observe the displacement of the *i*th disk δr_i caused in the pile by it.

In order to examine the properties of a given contact network, the size of the external displacement is taken to be small enough that the perturbation does not cause any change in the connectivity of the contact network in the pile. In the simulation, we take the external displacement as δr_0 $=(0, m_0 / k_n)$ —namely, the order of disk deformation. For such small external displacement, we have checked that the contact network in the pile does not change, and δr_i is proportional to δr_0 . Thus the relative displacement d_i defined by

$$
d_i = \frac{\delta r_i}{|\delta r_0|} \tag{8}
$$

does not depend on $|\delta r_0|$.

The initial piles are prepared by the way described in the previous section with the random initial configuration and Newtonian equation. The system size is $60\sigma_0$ in the horizontal direction and the number of disks, *N*, is 1200; thus, the average number of layers in the depth is 20.

Examples of the pile response to the perturbation are shown in Fig. 3. The solid disks in the bottom layer are fixed except one at the center marked with an arrow, which disk is displaced upward. The directions and distances of the displacements of the disks in the pile are shown by the arrows: they denote the displacements $|\delta d_i| \ge 1$, $0.5 \le |\delta d_i| \le 1$, and $0.1 \leq |\delta \mathcal{U}_i| \leq 0.5$. The disks that move less than $0.1 \delta r_0$ are not marked. One can see the effects of the perturbation extend over long distance in the upper case—namely, the frictionless pile close to isostaticity—while the effects decay within a short distance in the lower pile of frictional disks.

A. Total longitudinal response of displacement

As a measure of response to the perturbation, we define the total response of displacement in the *y* direction, D_y , or the total longitudinal response, as

FIG. 3. (Color online) The mechanical response caused by the small displacement of a disk at the bottom [denoted by an open (red) circle with a dark gray (red) arrow] in the frictionless pile (upper) and in the frictional pile (lower) with $k_n = 10^6 [m_0 g/\sigma_0]$. The dark gray (red), light gray (green), and black arrows denote the displacement direction of the disks that move by the distance δr_i $\geq \delta r_0$, $0.5 \delta r_0 \leq \delta r_i \leq \delta r_0$, and $0.1 \delta r_0 \leq \delta r_i \leq 0.5 \delta r_0$, respectively.

$$
D_{y} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \frac{\delta y_{i}}{\delta y_{0}} \right| = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |d_{y,i}|,
$$
 (9)

where δy_i is the *y* component of the displacement for the *i*th disk. This quantity should be finite if the response is confined within a finite region, but can diverge in the infinite system if the response extends to infinity.

The results are shown in Fig. $4(a)$, where the total response D_v is plotted as a function of k_n with which the examined piles are formed by the Newtonian equation from the random initial configuration.

The marks \odot 's (\blacksquare 's) in Fig. 4(a) denote the total response *Dy* of the frictionless (frictional) disks in the frictionless (frictional) piles, respectively.

In addition to these, we examine the frictional response to the perturbation in the frictionless piles (\square) 's); namely, the response is calculated using the frictional interaction between disks, although the pile itself is prepared using the frictionless interaction. Thus the pile structure has larger co-

FIG. 4. The total longitudinal displacement D_v caused by the perturbation in the frictionless pile (\odot), in the frictional pile (\blacksquare), and for the frictional response in the piles formed through frictionless dynamics (\square ; see text). (a) D_{y} vs the elastic constant k_{n} . (b) The same data D_v are plotted vs the average coordination number of the pile, *z*. Each plot represents an average over about 350 realizations.

FIG. 5. (Color online) The contour plots of the averaged absolute value of the longitudinal response $\hat{d}_y(x, y)$ in the frictionless piles (a) and in the frictional piles (b) with $k_n = 10^3, 10^4, 10^6[m_0 g/\sigma_0]$. The plots are averaged over a few hundreds realizations.

ordination numbers than that of real frictional piles with the same elastic constant k_n .

In Fig. 4(b), the same data are plotted against the coordination number *z* of the pile. One can see that the total response of the frictionless pile tends to diverge as *z* approaches 4, while it remains finite for the frictional pile as $z \rightarrow 3$. It is interesting to see that the frictional responses of the frictionless piles are almost on the same curve with the frictional responses of the frictional piles when they are plotted against *z*, which suggests that the coordination number characterizes the mechanical response of the pile very well.

B. Spatial variation of the absolute value of the longitudinal response

To understand the diverging total responses in the frictionless isostatic pile, we plot the averaged behavior of the spatial variation of absolute value of longitudinal response $\hat{d}_y(r)$ defined by

$$
\hat{d}_{y}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N} |d_{y,i}| \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{i}) \right\rangle, \tag{10}
$$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes the statistical average. This quantity is related to D_{v} by

$$
D_{y} = \int \int dr \hat{d}_{y}(\mathbf{r}). \qquad (11)
$$

In actual calculations, the spatial variation is calculated on a grid with mesh spacing σ_0 and the average is taken over a few hundreds realizations.

The results are shown in Fig. 5, where the contours of $\hat{d}_y(r)$'s are plotted in the *x*-*y* plane with a color code. The perturbation is applied at $(x, y) = (0, 0)$.

We look at the cases with $k_n = 10^3, 10^4, 10^6 [m_0 g/\sigma_0]$, for both the frictionless and frictional piles; the coordination number $z=4.86, 4.17, 3.98$, for $k_n=10^3, 10^4, 10^6[m_0g/\sigma_0]$, respectively, for the frictionless pile, and *z*=3.75,3.31,3.12 for

the frictional pile. The piles with $k_n = 10^6 [m_0 g / \sigma_0]$ are closer to isostatic than those with $k_n = 10^3 [m_0 g / \sigma_0]$ for both the frictionless and frictional piles.

Let us examine the frictionless case first. The response in the pile with $k_n = 10^3 [m_0 g / \sigma_0]$, which is away from isostatic, decays quickly as it departs from the point of perturbation. On the other hand, the situation is quite different for the pile with $k_n = 10^6 [m_0 g / \sigma_0]$, which is close to isostatic: the response spreads in a fanlike shape and does not decay along the *y* axis with $x=0$. If one looks along the line parallel to the *x* axis with constant *y*, one sees a plateau region where the response is constant. This plateau region, which seems to extend to infinity, is responsible for the diverging behavior of the total response *Dy*.

On the other hand, in the case of a frictional pile with $k_n = 10^6 [m_0 g / \sigma_0]$, there is no tendency to develop a plateau region, although the response is larger than that for the pile of $k_n = 10^3 [m_0 g / \sigma_0].$

C. Averaged displacement-displacement response function

Finally, we present the displacement-displacement response function $\Delta(r)$ for this external perturbation:

$$
\Delta(r) = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i \delta(r - r_i) \right\rangle.
$$
 (12)

The average is taken over a few hundreds realizations and the spatial dependence is calculated on a grid with mesh size σ_0 .

The displacement-displacement response function has been shown to be equal to the force-force response function for a frictionless isostatic structure [7], but it should be noted that this correspondence does not hold in other cases.

The results are shown in Figs. 6 and 7 for the frictionless and frictional piles, respectively, for $k_n=10^3, 10^4, 10^6$ $\frac{m_0 g}{\sigma_0}$.

 $\Delta_x(r)$ is positive for $x>0$ and negative for $x<0$ while $\Delta_v(r)$ is mostly positive when it is averaged.

For both the frictionless and frictional piles, the region where $\Delta(r) \neq 0$ is larger for the pile whose coordination

FIG. 6. (Color online) The contour plots of the averaged displacementdisplacement response function $\Delta(x, y)$ in the frictionless piles with k_n $=10^3, 10^4, 10^6[m_0g/\sigma_0]$: Δ_x in the left column and Δ _{*y*} in the right column. The plots are averaged over a few hundreds realizations.

number *z* is smaller—namely, for the pile that is closer to isostatic—but the tendency is much more profound in the frictionless piles.

It should be noted that the way $\Delta_{\nu}(r)$ extends is very different from that of $\hat{d}_y(r)$, especially in the frictionless pile close to isostatic. The response function $\Delta_{\nu}(r)$ propagates in the *y* direction with the double-peaked structure when one sees it along a line parallel to the *x* axis with constant *y*, while $\hat{d}_y(r)$ develops a plateau region.

This comparison shows that the response to the perturbation is not actually small in the "low-response region" of $\Delta_{\nu}(r)$ between the two peaks for the frictionless isostatic pile, but the large response varies from sample to sample and they are averaged out to make $\Delta_{\nu}(r)$ small.

The way this double-peaked structure in $\Delta_{\nu}(r)$ develops as the frictionless pile approaches isostatic can be seen in the right column of Fig. 6. The corresponding plots for the frictional case in the right column of Fig. 7 show smoother structure and do not seem to develop the double-peaked structure as the pile becomes isostatic.

V. SUMMARY

We have investigated the structure and mechanical response of two-dimensional piles of disks with various disk elasticities. The piles are formed through a several deposition procedures under gravity.

As for the structure, we have shown the following: The piles of frictionless disks become isostatic when the disks are very hard and they are not very sensitive to the preparation procedure, which is consistent with the conjecture that the pile of rigid grains is isostatic. On the other hand, for the piles of frictional disks with infinite friction, the structure depends on the preparation process. If the pile is formed from a triangular lattice with the inertia following the Newtonian equation, the pile structure seems to be distinctively different from the isostatic one even in the rigid limit, as has been found in previous work on the three-dimensional system [3]. We have found, however, that the pile of frictional disks becomes very close to the isostatic one in the rigid grain limit when we employ the deposition process where infinite friction is effective; namely, the viscous equation is used for the time development for the disks with infinite friction constant from random initial configurations.

The role of friction for the frictional isostaticity is demonstrated by examining the distribution of the ratio of the normal force to the tangential force. In the pile that is close to isostaticity with frictional disks, the distribution of ζ extends to a very large value of the order of $10³$. This suggests that frictional isostaticity is realized only in the cases where

FIG. 7. (Color online) The contour plots of the averaged displacementdisplacement response function in the frictional piles with *kn* $=10^3, 10^4, 10^6[m_0g/\sigma_0]$: Δ_x in the left column and Δ _y in the right column. The plots are averaged over a few hundreds realizations.

the exceptionally large friction coefficient is effective, and most of the real stable piles with modest friction should be hyperstatic with history-dependent forces even in the rigid limit.

We have also investigated the mechanical response of the piles of frictionless and frictional disks with special attention to the isostaticity of the pile structure. We have examined the disk displacement caused by moving one of the disks at the bottom of the pile by an infinitesimally small distance. It has been shown that, for the frictionless pile with isostatic structure, the response does not decay as it departs from the point of perturbation, and the total sum of the disk displacement diverges as the pile becomes isostatic, while the response decays quickly in the pile with structure far from isostaticity. It is found that the averaged longitudinal response Δ _y becomes double peaked in the isostatic frictionless pile.

As for the frictional pile, the response function always decays in a finite distance and the longitudinal response remains single peaked, which behavior does not change drastically as the structure approaches isostaticity.

The double-peaked structure in the displacementdisplacement response function for the frictionless isostatic pile may be compared with the hyperbolic stress propagation in the granular system [11,12] due to the equivalence between the stress-stress response function and the displacement-displacement response function in the frictionless isostatic pile [7]. The single-peaked structure, on the other hand, may correspond to the diffusive stress propagation, but this correspondence is indirect because there is no equivalence between the displacement response and the stress response in the frictional or nonisostatic pile.

It is interesting to note that, in the isostatic piles, the spatial distribution of the averaged response of disk displacement Δ _y is clearly different from that of the absolute value of disk displacement \hat{d}_y ; the former develops the double-peaked structure while the latter shows the plateau structure. Namely, in the region between the two peaks where the average displacement is small, the actual displacement response is not small in each sample, but just are random and averaged out. This means that the averaged displacement response in the isostatic pile appears to propagate like a wave following a hyperbolic equation, but the way that displacement response propagates in each sample is quite complicated and does not look like a wave (Fig. 3). A similar feature has been reported in a simplified lattice model of isostatic structure [8]: an individual response shows random behavior and its distribution is extremely broad, but the average shows wavelike propagation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 16540344) from JSPS, Japan.

- [1] C. F. Moukarzel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1634 (1998).
- [2] H. A. Makse, D. L. Johnson, and L. M. Schwartz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4160 (2000).
- [3] L. E. Silbert, D. Ertaş, G. S. Grest, T. C. Halsey, and D. Levine, Phys. Rev. E **65**, 031304 (2002).
- [4] R. C. Ball and R. Blumenfeld, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 115505 (2002).
- [5] R. C. Ball and R. Blumenfeld, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A **361**, 731 (2003).
- [6] A. V. Tkachenko and T. A. Witten, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 687

(1999).

- [7] C. F. Moukarzel, Granular Matter **3**, 41 (2001).
- [8] C. F. Moukarzel, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **14**, 2379 (2002).
- [9] C. F. Moukarzel, H. Pacheco-Martínez, J. C. Ruiz-Suarez, and A. M. Vidales, Granular Matter **6**, 61 (2004).
- [10] A. Kasahara and H. Nakanishi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **73**, 789 (2004).
- [11] J. Bouchaud, M. Cates, and P. Claudin, J. Phys. I **5**, 639 (1995).
- [12] J. Wittmer, M. Cates, and P. Claudin, J. Phys. I **7**, 39 (1997).